

AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION

Please **amend the paragraph beginning on page 9, line 29 and ending on page 10, line 3** as follows:

When it is confirmed that the copied data on the manufacturer side B2(T) and the product M does not coincide in step S13 (NO), (i.e. the product M does not correspond to ~~neither~~ either of the copied data on the customer side A2 ~~nor~~ or the copied data on the manufacturer side B2(T)), the value of the flag Fa in the step S14 will be set as Fa=1 to show that the product M is defective.

Please **amend the Abstract of the Disclosure** as follows:

~~To provide an~~ An electronic data management system ~~with a simplified configuration, wherein for accurately determining the authenticity of the electronic data and the specification of the source of unauthenticated electronic data can be determined accurately.~~ A drawing output processor transmits original electronic drawing data A1 ~~which is original electric drawing data~~ to an order receiving unit with a tag T attached. The order receiving unit and a manufacturing unit transmit a manufacturer's copied data B2(T) copied from the original data A1(T) to an inspection unit. The inspection unit transmits the inspection result Fa for the product M, the client's copied data A2 re-copied from the original data A1, and the manufacturer's copied data B2 (T) to a determination unit. The determination unit compares the tag T extracted from

Application No. 09/754,376
Amendment dated February 18, 2005
Reply to Office Action of November 05, 2004

Docket No. 0505-0738P
Art Unit: 2134
Page 3 of 22

the manufacturer's copied data B2 with the original value of the client's copied data ~~A3~~ A2 and the original value of the manufacturer's copied data B2 and determines the authenticity of the client's copied data A2 and the manufacturer's copied data ~~B3~~ B2.